



June 3, 2018

THE DURALT COMPLAINT.

INTRODUCTION:

I am Mark L. Nelson, CEO of Polar Molecular Holding Corporation (PMHC), co-founder with my father, the late Otis L. Nelson Jr of Polar Molecular Corporation (PMC), and co-inventor with my father of Duralt Fuel Conditioner. (See the Nelson family, and loved ones story, and photos on this website).

Warren Buffett, is the CEO and 36.8% owner of Berkshire Hathaway. Berkshire owns the Lubrizol Corporation, and thus Buffett owns 36.8 % of Lubrizol. Lubrizol's basic business is lubricant additives, a low profit margin business subject to market demand down turns.

The Auto/Oil industry needs the proprietary, high profit margin Duralt fuel conditioner technology we have developed. Duralt is industry validated by major Auto/Oil entities including The Ford Motor Company, and Total in France. Duralt's unique performance features reduce fuel consumption and greenhouse gas emissions, at a net savings to society.

Lubrizol needs such technology to improve their financial performance, and has unlawfully "pirated" that technology from us, causing us \$30 billion in damages.

I provided evidence on December 12, 2017 to Warren Buffett of Lubrizol's theft of our Duralt technology and the \$30 billion in damages we have suffered. Buffett responded to me in a personal letter on December 13, 2017 and refused to intervene, and to halt Lubrizol's theft of our technology, and the continuing attacks on our company. (The evidence and economic study was in an enclosed LEGAL CLAIMS AGAINST LUBRIZOL BRIEF AND 3 EXHIBIT BOOKS).

Why?

1). Maybe because Lubrizol is underperforming financially, needs a high profit margin product like Duralt, and Buffett receives 36.8 % of the value generated by Lubrizol's theft, and commercialization of our technology, in a multi-billion dollar market for Duralt.

2). Maybe because Buffett doesn't want to disclose a \$30 billion contingent liability for a company he paid \$9.7 billion to acquire. (Under RICO, the contingent liability may be triple or

\$90 billion, plus legal fees). After all, Buffett doesn't make bad investments. He is the "Oracle of Omaha."

In any event, once the parent company is made aware of unlawful acts by a subsidiary, the parent is lawfully required to halt such unlawful conduct, and cannot simply "turn a blind eye" to it. Once informed of such unlawful misconduct by a subsidiary and the parent doesn't halt it, the parent becomes complicit.

Additionally, Berkshire Hathaway purports to have a Code Of Business Conduct and Ethics. Doesn't that Code of Business Conduct and Ethics apply to the management of it's subsidiaries as well? If not that is a major flaw in the Berkshire Code.

Finally, Buffett and Berkshire Hathaway are required to disclose this enormous, contingent liability to it's investors who are contributing billions of dollars to Berkshire for investment and are owed transparency under federal securities law. The rule is to disclose the asserted claims.

Berkshire's lawyers are allowed to render an opinion as to the validity of the asserted legal claims and damages, but full disclosure is required.

The attached website exhibits, including a 1993 Federal Trade Commission Complaint I filed against Lubrizol, and the 2017 LEGAL CLAIMS AGAINST LUBRIZOL BRIEF, and 3 Books of Exhibits describe the attack in detail from 1986 up to the present time. Read the evidence and judge for yourself if PMHC is making a credible case against the Lubrizol Corporation.

The Lubrizol acquisition had a troubled history right from the start. Warren Buffett's Berkshire Hathaway acquired the Lubrizol Corporation in March 2011, for \$9.7 billion, Buffett's second largest acquisition as of that date. A scandal then erupted on April 27, 2011, due to the fact as reported by Berkshires Audit Committee, that David Sokol, a senior Berkshire executive, and potential "heir apparent" to Buffett who identified, and recommended Lubrizol as an acquisition candidate, had purchased \$10 million of Lubrizol stock before the deal was pursued by Buffett, making a \$3 million profit on the stock after the deal was announced.

One wonders if that is the entire story. Given my 30 years of bad experience with Lubrizol's unlawful business practices, I seriously doubt it.

The after-effects of the scandal continued for 2 years into 2013, and included intensive news media scrutiny, a shareholder lawsuit against Sokol and Buffett, and a SEC insider trading investigation of Sokol. Buffett was bruised, and Sokol, Buffett's "heir apparent" was forced to resign. Attached are nine stories that the news media published about the scandal at the time. This includes STANFORD'S CLOSER LOOK SERIES, Topics, Issues, and controversies in Corporate Governance and Leadership. "THE RESIGNATION OF DAVID SOKOL: MOUNTAIN OR MOLEHILL FOR BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY?"

What was not known by Buffett, Berkshire, it's stockholders and the news media at the time of the 2011, 9.7 billion acquisition was that Lubrizol had a contingent liability of \$15 billion (or triple damages of \$45 billion if a RICO statute is upheld) due to Lubrizol's attacks on PMC, PMHC and the Nelsons. (Based on the evidence and subsequent 2017 net income study in the LEGAL CLAIMS AGAINST LUBRIZOL BRIEF, and EXHIBIT BOOKS)

Lubrizol's attacks, and it's "pirating" of Duralt continued, and in January 2017, as PMHC CEO, I sent Warren Buffett, CEO of Berkshire, and Berkshire's "independent" auditor, Deloitte and Touche extensive evidence of Lubrizol's unlawful acts against PMC/PMHC and the Nelsons, asserting "billions of dollars in damages". I also exposed the role of the Amway Corporation in Lubrizol's "off the charts" bad behavior. Amway's corporate reputation is disreputable to say the least, which caused Amway to change it's name in North America to Quixtar for more than a decade. (The January 2017 letters to Buffett and his auditors are attached to this website).

In fact in 1989 Amway private labeled Duralt and sold it successfully in a 12oz bottle as Amway Freedom Fuel Additive (FFA), while selling a Lubrizol synthetic engine oil as Amway Freedom Oil.

The Duralt/Amway FFA product was highly successfully in the USA, Canada, and also in England where Duralt/Amway Petro Additive became the No. 1 selling Amway product in that entire country. Lubrizol certainly took note of this success, and later usurped it. (See attached Amway product fliers for Amway FFA, and Amway Petro Additive).

Buffet was provided a copy of the 1993 FTC Complaint Against Lubrizol filed by Mark Nelson that provided extensive evidence of Lubrizol's illegal acts against PMC commencing in 1986. In fact the lead FTC attorney for the team of FTC attorneys reviewing the complaint said to me, "the evidence is highly credible, it's just fantastic and you should write a book"!! I did take that advice and filed a copyright on the Summary Brief of the Complaint. (The 1993 FTC Complaint is attached to this website).

Commencing in 1986, Lubrizol launched a well co-ordinated campaign to disparage Duralt and to tortuously interfere with contracts for millions of dollars in revenue for Duralt. One such occurrence was the undermining of a 1987 contract with Mercury Marine that cost PMC millions of dollars in revenue. PMC was able to correct the problem through litigation by 1989, but the damage was done. Lubrizol also attempted to undermine the Duralt private label deal with Harley Davidson, but failed. (See attached Harley Davidson Duralt poster, and Mercury Marine Duralt Brochure).

In effect, Duralt was a non-metallic additive that reduced combustion chamber deposits(CCD) in gasoline engines and thus reduced octane requirement increase (ORI), and octane number required (ONR).

The Lubrizol metallic additive, trade named "Powershield, increased CCD, ORI, and ONR. Engine manufacturers were not interested in this negative dynamic and selected Duralt, so Lubrizol went on the attack against PMC and Duralt.

Lubrizol also disrupted the presentation of the first Duralt technical paper published by the Society of Automotive Engineers, (SAE) in front of hundreds of Auto-Oil industry officials at the 1989 SAE International Conference in Detroit, Michigan.

In 1990 Lubrizol engaged in a "short selling" conspiracy of PMC's small cap Nasdaq listed public stock with the notorious Feshback brothers who were investigated by congress for illegal public stock manipulation. The conspiracy was successful, the stock price plummeted and PMC lost it's Nasdaq listing. This cut off PMC from needed capital for growth.

In 1991 PMC entered into a licensing and research agreement with Elf Aquitaine (Elf) a major oil company in France, due to the CCD/ORI/ONR benefits of Duralt. In January, 1992 PMC entered into a Duralt licensing agreement with the Dow Chemical Company. In April 1992 PMC and Dow received very positive test results from Elf at a meeting in Paris. Elf had one more test to run before launching Duralt in all of it's gasoline in January 1993.

Then in May 1992 Amway, (the DeVos Clan), joined forces with Lubrizol in a fraudulent takeover of PMC completed on June 30, 1992, and then filed a February 1993 fraudulent bankruptcy of PMC in Boston, in an attempt to "bust out" the Duralt patents. The "front takeover management" were ejected from control of PMC by the Court when I provided the Court proof of the conspiracy, and a Court appointed trustee sued the "front takeover management" for proxy fraud in the takeover and for bankruptcy fraud as detailed in the 1993 FTC Complaint.

The 1993 FTC Complaint and other measures enabled the Nelson's to recover PMC and the Duralt patents in December 1994.

Other information, including "The Matrix of the Conspiracy", and asserted claims provided to Buffett detailed Lubrizol's ongoing illegal acts, including tortious interference of a \$950 million acquisition of Octel by Salomon Smith Barney in 1998, a MOU with Elf to renew the opportunity, with the big French oil company in 1999, an exclusive contract agreement with Alox Corporation for the Duralt key component in 2000, a Duralt "knock-off" conspiracy with Amway in 2006, a 2009-2011 Bankruptcy Fraud conspiracy with Amway, "et al" to steal, and then abandon the Duralt plus detergent patents, followed by Lubrizol providing Amway a Duralt plus detergent additive, tradenamed "XLP", to sell on the Amway website in 2010-2011.

(Attached to this website is the document, "Bankruptcy Fraud, and the Continuing Fraud", plus exhibits. This document and exhibits proves conclusively that Lubrizol "pirated" Duralt technology through blatant bankruptcy fraud, in a conspiracy with Amway, and an "agent provocateur" Carl Hill "et al" who were represented by an Amway law firm, Clark Hill, PLC in a foreclosure conspiracy).

This series of events brings the history of the Lubrizol conspiracy right up to the March 2011 acquisition of Lubrizol by Berkshire Hathaway.

I requested that Berkshire's lawyers review the evidence in co-operation with me, along with additional, substantial evidence I would provide, and settle the asserted claims, and damages "in the billions of dollars". Failing that, I said I would file another FTC complaint, and sue Lubrizol.

Buffett, and his "independent auditor" failed to respond, and Berkshire filed it's 2016 SEC 10K, Annual Report and audited financials on February 26, 2017 without conducting "due diligence" on the claims and disclosing the contingent liabilities in the "billions of dollars" asserted by PMHC.

On December 12, 2017, I provided by Fed Ex, a four page letter to Buffett, dated December 10, detailing Lubrizol's continuing illegal acts against PMHC and the Nelsons, demanding a cessation of such acts, pointed out the failure of Berkshire Hathaway to conduct due diligence and disclose the claims and contingent liabilities in the Berkshire 2016 SEC 10K filing, and urged Buffett to have Berkshire's lawyers conduct due diligence on the claims, and resolve the claims. (The December 10, 2017 letter to Buffett is attached to the website).

Enclosed with the letter was the Summary Brief entitled, THE LEGAL CLAIMS AGAINST LUBRIZOL, and three books of exhibits. The Summary Brief also contained an economic study by a noted economist, detailing net income of \$27 billion dollars by January 2017 from three PMC/PMHC business opportunities, and \$30 billion net income by January 2018. The LEGAL CLAIMS Brief and evidence prove that Lubrizol, "et al" undermined the net income of \$27 billion by January 2017 and \$30 billion by January 2018.

The LEGAL CLAIMS Brief, 3 books of Exhibits, and letter were copied to Deloitte Touche as well, and are attached to this website.

To my surprise, Buffett responded to me in a personal letter the very next day, on December 13, 2017, sent by regular mail. The gist of the letter was Buffett had carefully read my letter. That he could not intervene with Lubrizol, and "normally" would have provided my letter to Lubrizol's CEO, but had not done so because he believed I would not want him to (a threat?), and he also had not provided it to Berkshire's lawyers. Very likely Buffett gave Lubrizol's CEO my January 2017 letter and evidence, as he "normally" would do, instead of Berkshire's lawyers. (The Buffett December 13,2017 letter to me is attached to the website).

A CEO of a major public company should have immediately given both communications, and evidence to his lawyers for due diligence. Perhaps Buffett was not prepared to deal with another "firestorm" of scandal over Lubrizol, a company he had acquired for \$9.7 billion, and that was underperforming, financially. Furthermore, Buffett may not have wished to admit his failure to

have Berkshire's lawyers conduct "due diligence" and disclose the legal claims and contingent liabilities in Berkshire's 2016 SEC 10K filed on February 26, 2017.

Buffett's conduct in the Lubrizol matter is precisely the sort of corporate governance issue raised in the Stanford Closer Look Series, concerning the Sokol-Lubrizol controversy. .

I found Buffett's letter, sent by regular mail in PMHC's company mail box on Friday, December 22, 2017. I then called Berkshire Hathaway and announced who I was to the receptionist, and stated that Mr. Buffett was expecting my call. The receptionist put me through to Buffett's assistant. In a short conversation the assistant stated that she helped Buffett to write the December 13 response letter to me, and that Buffett would see my response right away.

Due to the holidays, I responded to Buffett's letter and with a copy to Deloitte Touche, and a letter to Deloitte Touche, cc to Buffett in the first week of January, 2018.

The gist of both letters was that Lubrizol's illegal acts against PMHC and the Nelsons must cease, and Berkshire's lawyers must conduct "due diligence" on the evidence I provided, and make the appropriate disclosures of liabilities of Lubrizol, and/or settle the claims. (My letters referenced above, to Buffett and his auditor, are attached to the website).

The very next week something very curious occurred. The mortgage on the home of my fiancée, the late Sharon Minnock, left to me in her will, was sold by Bank of America to Carrington Mortgage Services, LLC. Bank of America's notice to Sharon provided by US mail the 3rd week of January, stated that Bank of America would service the mortgage until February 2, 2018, and assist in a smooth transition. It was anything but smooth!!

On January 15, I had sent an inquiry by Priority Mail to Bank of America, Customer Services requesting information on insurance payments that may have included a life insurance policy on Sharon to pay off the mortgage, in the event of her death. The Insurance agent was Geico, owned by Berkshire Hathaway, and Buffett/Berkshire became the largest stockholder of Bank of America on August 29, 2017. (Attached to the website is the USA TODAY story, "Warren Buffett is now Bank of America's top shareholder"..

Bank of America did not reply to the inquiry about the existence of the life insurance policy.

Meanwhile, Carrington has sent several threatening letters falsely stating they had not received mortgage payments, although they had received the payments on time and cashed the checks the next day. Carrington is threatening foreclosure on the house. The house is vital to the PMHC operations and legal efforts to recover \$30 billion in damages, a fact well known by the "agent provocateur" Carl Hill and thus to Lubrizol, Amway and Buffett.

All of this is very curious. I will leave the reader to his or her own conclusions, regarding the above.

However, for my part, and given all that has happened, I don't believe in co-incidence.

The communications to (the insurance inquiry) and from Bank of America (sale of the mortgage), and the false and threatening letters from Carrington, (and proof of payments) are attached to this Website, with a short chronology.

Other retaliation has occurred since I advised Buffett in January 2017 of Polar's claims against Lubrizol, and Buffett sent the evidence to the CEO of Lubrizol as he would "normally" do.

This includes an implied threat against the safety of a family member in March 2017, "stalking" me at my place of worship (photos attached), a cyber crime conspiracy, "hacking" of my emails to and from federal enforcement agents, and undermining of business opportunities and large revenue deals by Carl Hill, "et al", an "agent provocateur", and "bag man" for Lubrizol who has paid off insiders, consultants, employees of prospective customers, and others.

The Berkshire Hathaway Annual Meeting of Stockholders has just been held the first weekend in May. According to news reports, the question from stockholders that was often repeated is who will succeed Buffett, and will that person be able to continue to raise billions of dollars for additional, ongoing investments by Berkshire. Buffett just named two Berkshire executives as co-Vice Chairman of the Board with Vice Chairman Charlie Munger, raising succession speculation. This succession concern may be one of the motives driving Buffet's conduct in the Lubrizol matter. Buffet's fortune is directly tied to Berkshire's continued ability to raise vast sums of money from investors, and is also directly tied to Buffett's reputation to make sound investment decisions. Berkshire Hathaway currently has \$116 billion in cash and cash equivalents to invest.

The scandalous Lubrizol story, and billions in undisclosed liabilities, if fully appreciated by Berkshire stockholders, the investing public, and the news media, runs counter to Buffett's reputation as a "White Shoe" businessman, a great stock picker, and the "Oracle of Omaha".

Personally, to be fair about it, I think Buffett was set up by Sokol, and Lubrizol's management, who undoubtedly made a fortune on the acquisition deal with their stock and stock options. Perhaps Buffett knows this but simply does not want to admit he made a mistake in acquiring Lubrizol, as it runs counter to his reputation as a great stock picker, and the "Oracle of Omaha".

After my disappointing experiences with Buffett's failure to take appropriate actions in the Lubrizol matter I did some internet research and found that I wasn't the only one questioning Buffett's reputation as an "Oracle", and "White Shoe" businessman.

For example, on August 16, 2016 a story appeared in the Economist entitled "The Other Side of Warren Buffett, DON'T BUFF IT UP". This story points out inconsistencies in Buffett's statements including that corporations should pay more tax, but Berkshire pays only 13%.

(A copy of this story is on this website)

Another story "WARREN BUFFETT UNDER ATTACK ON MULTIPLE FRONTS", by Susan Adams of the Forbes staff on May 15, 2015. The story points out inconsistencies in what Buffett says and what he sometimes actually does.

(A copy of this story is on this website).

Another story by Edward Hadas in London (Reuters Breakingviews) entitled "HADAS: ENOUGH WITH THE CULT OF WARREN BUFFETT" contained additional insight.

Hadas says that while Berkshire's "returns are falling Buffett's hypocrisy is rising".

(A copy of this story is on this website).

Obviously, not everyone, including me, see Buffett in a most favorable light. The general theme appears to be Buffett's inconsistency from what he says and what he actually does.

Buffett has told me to take my grievances up directly with Lubrizol, knowing full well Lubrizol will not stop its unlawful conduct against our interests. This approach would be a monumental waste of time and effort. Buffett and Berkshire have a lawful obligation to halt Lubrizol's unlawful acts against PMHC and the Nelsons. Instead he directs PMHC to police Lubrizol. This is inconsistent with Berkshire's obligations under the law and the Berkshire Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (whatever that is).

Accordingly, I'm taking a different approach. Exposure.

A Conspiracy hates the light of Day!! That is why I am "going public" with evidence of the Lubrizol conspiracy, in the interests of justice. The financial devastation wreaked on PMC, PMHC, our stockholders, my family, (and a rapidly diminishing list of allies), has precluded me engaging legal counsel in this ongoing, epic saga of corruption, corporate greed, and sociopathic lawlessness. Indeed, this cast of characters are "above the law", and have plenty of money and political influence to remain "above the law", unless the conspiracy is exposed.

Unfortunately, the financial devastation suffered is not the only concern. There have been two tragic deaths under "suspicious" circumstances.

My father, Otis Nelson, a decorated WW11 combat veteran who survived intense combat serving in the Rangers and The First Special Service Force in Europe, suffered two strokes under suspicious circumstances, and died fighting this insidious conspiracy IN AMERICA, on August 3, 2009.

After my father's death, my fiancée and life partner Sharon Minnock "stepped up" to help me in the Fall of 2009. She was diagnosed with cancer in March 2013, and died under suspicious circumstances on June 4, 2013.

I will disclose more details later. Given the retaliation that has been occurring, I have serious concerns. Before you pre-judge, keep in mind there is a great deal of money at stake, and you haven't experienced my 30 years of "off the charts" corporate lawlessness exhibited by Lubrizol, "et al" and Amway. Just read the evidence provided on the website and judge for yourself.

There is much more to the story, and I will unfold it to the news media and to the public.

Given PMHC's financial devastation by Lubrizol that has prevented engagement of legal counsel, the only chance I have to bring about a just resolution is public exposure of this insidious conspiracy to the news media, and to the American people. To that end, I am going public with this incredible story, and will continue to unfold the horrendous details, step by step, no matter the continuing retaliation against me personally.

I'm obligated by duty and love to protect PMHC, and it's stockholders, including my family, and will continue to do so.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "M. Nelson", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Mark L. Nelson (the inconvenient witness).

Co-Founder, Chairman, President & CEO

Polar Molecular Holding Corporation

Ps. I am seeking to engage effective legal counsel on a contingency fee basis. Please contact me if your firm has complex civil litigation, "wrongful death", RICO, securities, anti-trust, and intellectual property capabilities.

We are also seeking litigation investors.